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#### Abstract

Iron peroxide species have been identified as important intermediates in a number of nonheme iron as well as heme-containing enzymes, yet there are only a few examples of such species either synthetic or biological that have been well characterized. We describe the synthesis and structural characterization of a new series of five-coordinate ( $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate)) $\mathrm{Fe}^{\prime l}$ complexes that react with tert-butyl hydroperoxide ( ${ }^{\text {B }} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ ) or cumenyl hydroperoxide ( CmOOH ) to give metastable alkylperoxo-iron(III) species ( $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate) $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OOR}$ ) at low temperature. These complexes were designed specifically to mimic the nonheme iron active site of superoxide reductase, which contains a five-coordinate iron(II) center bound by one Cys and four His residues in the active form of the protein. The structures of the Fe ${ }^{\text {II }}$ complexes are analyzed by X-ray crystallography, and their electrochemical properties are assessed by cyclic voltammetry. For the Fe ${ }^{\text {III }}$-OOR species, low-temperature UV-vis spectra reveal intense peaks between $500-550 \mathrm{~nm}$ that are typical of peroxide to iron(III) ligand-to-metal charge-transfer (LMCT) transitions, and EPR spectroscopy shows that these alkylperoxo species are all low-spin iron(III) complexes. Identification of the vibrational modes of the Fe ${ }^{\text {III }}$-OOR unit comes from resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopy, which shows $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ modes between $600-635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ bands near $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. These $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequencies are significantly lower than those found in other low-spin Fe ${ }^{\text {III }}-$ OOR complexes. Trends in the data conclusively show that this weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond arises from a trans influence of the thiolate donor, and density functional theory (DFT) calculations support these findings. These results suggest a role for the cysteine ligand in SOR, and are discussed in light of the recent assessments of the function of the cysteine ligand in this enzyme.


## Introduction

Iron peroxide species are proposed to play important roles in the function of many mononuclear iron centers in biology, including heme systems such as cytochrome $\mathrm{P} 450^{1-6}$ and heme oxygenase, ${ }^{7}$ and nonheme iron centers such as bleomycin,,${ }^{8-10}$

[^0]extradiol, ${ }^{10-13}$ and Rieske dioxygenases,,${ }^{10,11}$ and superoxide reductases. ${ }^{14-19}$ The latter enzymes represent a new class of iron-containing proteins that is found in anaerobic and microaerophilic prokaryotes, and catalyzes the reduction of superoxide to hydrogen peroxide through a reductive pathway, $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}+\mathrm{e}^{-}+2 \mathrm{H}^{+} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. SOR is thought to serve as an
(9) Stubbe, J.; Kozarich, J. W. Chem. Rev. 1987, 87, 1107-1136.
(10) Que, L., Jr.; Ho, R. Y. N. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 2607-2624.
(11) Kovaleva, E. G.; Neibergall, M. B.; Chakrabarty, S.; Lipscomb, J. D. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 475-483.
(12) Kovaleva, E. G.; Lipscomb, J. D. Science 2007, 316, 453-457.
(13) Costas, M.; Mehn, M. P.; Jensen, M. P.; Que, L., Jr. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 939-986.
(14) Emerson, J. P.; Coulter, E. D.; Cabelli, D. E.; Phillips, R. S.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr. Biochemistry 2002, 41, 4348-4357.
(15) Katona, G.; Carpentier, P.; Nivière, V.; Amara, P.; Adam, V.; Ohana, J.; Tsanov, N.; Bourgeois, D. Science 2007, 316, 449-453.
(16) Kurtz, D. M., Jr. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 902-908.
(17) Lombard, M.; Houée-Levin, C.; Touati, D.; Fontecave, M.; Nivière, V. Biochemistry 2001, 40, 5032-5040.
(18) Mathé, C.; Weill, C. O.; Mattioli, T. A.; Berthomieu, C.; Houée-Levin, C.; Tremey, E.; Nivière, V. J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 22207-22216.
(19) Nivière, V.; Lombard, M.; Fontecave, M.; Houée-Levin, C. Febs Lett. 2001, 497, 171-173.
alternative to the classical superoxide dismutases (SODs), allowing anoxic microorganisms to avoid the oxidative halfreaction of SOD, which would produce deleterious dioxygen. The metal center in SOR that carries out the reduction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$ is comprised of an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ ion bound to the protein by four His donors in a plane and a single axial cysteinate donor, completing a square pyramidal geometry for the high-spin ferrous (reduced) enzyme. High-resolution X-ray structures of SOR have also shown that the Cys sulfur atom is within hydrogen-bonding distance of two $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ amide groups ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{S}$ bonds) from the peptide backbone. ${ }^{20}$ The mechanism of $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$reduction has been studied by several methods, and there is one intermediate, characterized by an absorption band at $\sim 600 \mathrm{~nm},{ }^{16,17,19,21}$ that has been consistently observed. This species has been formulated as an iron(III)-(hydro)peroxo species. However, the structure, spin state, and protonation state of this intermediate have not been definitively determined, and the possible existence of other iron peroxide-type intermediates along the catalytic pathway remains under investigation. In addition, the thiolate ligand in SOR is unique among nonheme iron enzymes, and its role in the mechanism of $\mathrm{O}_{2}^{-}$reduction has been a subject of much interest. ${ }^{18,20,22,23}$

We previously reported the synthesis of the SOR model complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right]^{+} \mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$and showed that it reacted with alkylhydroperoxides at low temperature in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give the metastable iron(III)-alkylperoxo species $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}(\mathrm{R}=$ cumenyl or tert-butyl). ${ }^{24}$ These complexes were characterized by UV-vis, EPR, and resonance Raman (RR) spectroscopies and were determined to be low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species with weak $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, i.e., with $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}) \approx 90 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ lower than in other low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species. Analysis of other low-spin and high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H}$ or R$)$ species by RR spectroscopy has led to a trend in which the low-spin complexes exhibit strong $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ and weak $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, while the opposite trend is observed for the highspin species. ${ }^{25-32}$ Thus, the $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$ complexes were the first examples of low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species to exhibit dramatically weakened $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. The former trend was used as precedent for the suggestion that the spin-state of the iron during $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$reduction may play a part in
(20) Adam, V.; Royant, A.; Nivière, V.; Molina-Heredia, F. P.; Bourgeois, D. Structure 2004, 12, 1729-1740.
(21) Coulter, E. D.; Emerson, J. P.; Kurtz, D. M., Jr.; Cabelli, D. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11555-11556.
(22) Dey, A.; Jenney, F. E.; Adams, M. W. W.; Johnson, M. K.; Hodgson, K. O.; Hedman, B.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12418-12431.
(23) Kovacs, J. A.; Brines, L. M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 501-509.
(24) Krishnamurthy, D.; Kasper, G. D.; Namuswe, F.; Kerber, W. D.; Sarjeant, A. A. N.; Moënne-Loccoz, P.; Goldberg, D. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 14222-14223.
(25) Girerd, J. J.; Banse, F.; Simaan, A. J. Struct. Bonding (Berlin) 2000, 97, 145-177.
(26) Jensen, M. P.; Payeras, A. M. I.; Fiedler, A. T.; Costas, M.; Kaizer, J.; Stubna, A.; Munck, E.; Que, L., Jr. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 23982408.
(27) Lehnert, N.; Fujisawa, K.; Solomon, E. I. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 469481.
(28) Lehnert, N.; Ho, R. Y. N.; Que, L., Jr.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 12802-12816.
(29) Lehnert, N.; Ho, R. Y. N.; Que, L., Jr.; Solomon, E. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 8271-8290.
(30) Ménage, S.; Wilkinson, E. C.; Que, L., Jr.; Fontecave, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1995, 34, 203-205.
(31) Wada, A.; Ogo, S.; Watanabe, Y.; Mukai, M.; Kitagawa, T.; Jitsukawa, K.; Masuda, H.; Einaga, H. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 3592-3593.
(32) Zang, Y.; Kim, J.; Dong, Y. H.; Wilkinson, E. C.; Appelman, E. H.; Que, L., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 4197-4205.
encouraging $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage and release of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as opposed to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ cleavage pathway observed for other enzymes such as cytochrome P450. ${ }^{16,33-35}$

In our earlier report we reasoned that the unexpected weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{ane}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$may arise from a trans influence of the thiolate donor, provided that the thiolate ligand was indeed coordinated in a trans configuration. Immediately following this work a report from Kovacs and Solomon ${ }^{36}$ described the low-temperature synthesis and characterization of a high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ model of SOR that also exhibited a significantly weakened $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, as evident from the RR-detected $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretch for this complex $(\nu(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})=419$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ versus $450-639 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for other high-spin iron(III) peroxides). In this study a thiolate-induced trans influence was also invoked as the potential cause of the weak $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. In contrast, an earlier report by Que and Halfen ${ }^{33}$ showed that increasing the electron-donating ability of a trans-oriented axial ligand (X) in a series of six-coordinate, high-spin $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left(\mathrm{N}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{X})(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$complexes led to a decrease in the decay rate of these species. This trend is opposite to that expected for a weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond via a trans influence of X , assuming that the decay involves $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage. Interestingly, the RR spectroscopy on these highspin complexes displayed essentially no variance with the identity of the axial ligand. We speculated that the synthesis of a series of SOR models in which the electron-donating power of the thiolate ligand was rationally varied would greatly aid in determining the potential role of this ligand in influencing the properties of $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H}$ or R$)$ species.

In this paper, we describe the modular synthesis of a series of SOR model complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})\right]^{+}$, wherein the thiolate ligand has been varied with regard to its electron-donating ability. These complexes have allowed us to systematically assess the influence of the thiolate donor on the structural and physical properties, as well as the reactivity of this family of SOR model complexes while holding the remaining ligand set constant. The ability of these complexes to form $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-$ OOR species is evaluated, and a mechanism of formation is proposed. The UV-vis features, spin-states, and vibrational signatures of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species are determined by low-temperature electronic absorption, EPR, and RR spectroscopies. The influence of the thiolate donor on the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strengths is assessed. DFT calculations were performed in support of the spectroscopic data. These findings are discussed in light of recent results on SOR in which the influence of the Cys donor on the properties of an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H})$ intermediate has been investigated through site-directed mutagenesis. ${ }^{18}$

## Experimental Section

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an atmosphere of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ or Ar using a drybox or standard Schlenk techniques. 1,4,8,12-Tetraazacyclopentadecane ([15]aneN4) (98\%) was purchased from Strem Chemicals. All other reagents were purchased from commercial vendors and used without further purification unless noted otherwise. Diethyl ether and dichloromethane were purified via a Pure-Solv Solvent Purification System

[^1]from Innovative Technology, Inc. THF was distilled from sodium/ benzophenone. Methanol was distilled over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. All solvents were degassed by repeated cycles of freeze-pump-thaw and then stored in a drybox. Sodium hydride ( $60 \%$ in mineral oil) was washed with hexanes prior to use. Tert-butylhydroperoxide was purchased from Aldrich as an $\sim 5.5 \mathrm{M}$ solution in decane over molecular sieves. The concentration of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ was measured via titration with potassium iodide according to a published procedure. ${ }^{37} \mathrm{Bu}^{18} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{OH}$ was synthesized by the reaction of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuMgCl}$ with ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ following a published procedure. ${ }^{38}$ Cumene ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{OH}$ was synthesized by the reaction of cumene with ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ following a published procedure. ${ }^{39}$ ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ was purchased from Icon Isotopes ( $99 \%$ atom purity).

Physical Methods. Electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectra were obtained on a Bruker EMX EPR spectrometer controlled with a Bruker ER 041 X G microwave bridge at 15 K . The EPR spectrometer was equipped with a continuous-flow liquid He cryostat and an ITC503 temperature controller made by Oxford Instruments, Inc. Low-temperature UV-visible spectra were recorded at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on a Cary 50 Bio spectrophotometer equipped with a fiber-optic coupler (Varian) and a fiber optic dip probe (Hellma 661.302-QX-UV, 2 mm path length) for low temperature, using custom-made Schlenk tubes designed for the fiber-optic dip probe. Room temperature UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode array spectrophotometer. Resonance Raman spectra were obtained on a custom McPherson 2061/207 spectrometer equipped with a Princeton Instrument liquid- $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ cooled CCD detector ( $\mathrm{LN}-1100 \mathrm{OB}$ ). The 514-nm excitation from an Ar laser (Innova 90, Coherent) was set at 50 mW , and continuous spinning of the sample at 110 K was used to prevent adverse effect from the laser illumination. A Semrock RazorEdge filter was used to attenuate Rayleigh scattering. Sets of $\sim 15 \mathrm{~min}$ accumulations were acquired at $4-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ resolution. Frequency calibrations were performed using aspirin and are accurate to $\pm 1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Elemental analyses were performed by Atlantic Microlab Inc., Norcross, GA.
Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \operatorname{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathbf{S C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{5}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (1). To a solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.395 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of [15]aneN $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0.250 \mathrm{~g}, 1.17 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH (6 mL ) dropwise, and stirred for 45 min resulting in a pale-yellow solution. A methanolic solution of $\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}(0.185 \mathrm{~g}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ (prepared from addition of benzenethiol to NaH in THF ) was added to the mixture and stirred overnight to give a pale-green solution. Methanol was removed under vacuum to give a green solid, which was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through Celite to give a green solution. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into this solution resulted in colorless prisms (which appear light-blue when grown together in clusters) of $\mathbf{1}$ after a week. Yield: $0.336 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SFeBF}_{4}$ : C, 43.80; H, 6.71; N, 12.01. Found: C, 43.34; H, 6.85; N, 12.08.
Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (2). To a solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.378 \mathrm{~g}, 1.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0.267 \mathrm{~g}, 1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise, and stirred for 45 min resulting in a pale-yellow solution. A methanolic solution of $\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}(0.236 \mathrm{~g}, 1.39$ mmol ) (prepared from addition of 4-chlorobenzenethiol to NaH in THF) was added to the mixture and stirred for 30 min to give a pale-yellow solution. Methanol was removed under vacuum to give a yellow-brown solid, which was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through Celite to give a forest green solution. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into this solution resulted in colorless prisms (which appear pale-green when grown together in clusters) of 2 after 2 days. Yield: $0.362 \mathrm{~g}(65 \%)$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{SFeClBF}_{4}$ : C, 40.79; H, 6.04; N, 11.19. Found: C, 40.79; H, 5.66; N, 11.33.
Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}\right.\right.$-p-OMe)]BF ${ }_{4}$ (3). To a solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.236 \mathrm{~g}, 0.700 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH ( 4 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added a solution of [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0.150 \mathrm{~g}, 0.700 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(6 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise and stirred for 45 min resulting in a pale-

[^2]yellow solution. A methanolic solution of $\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ - $p$ - $\mathrm{OMe}(0.227$ $\mathrm{g}, 1.40 \mathrm{mmol}$; prepared from the addition of 4-methoxybenzenethiol to NaH in THF) was added to the mixture and stirred overnight to give a pale green-yellow solution. Methanol was removed under vacuum to give a green solid, which was redissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through Celite to give a yellow-green solution. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into this solution resulted in colorless rods (which appear pale-green when grown together in clusters) of 3 after one week. Yield: 0.226 g ( $65 \%$ ); Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{OSFeBF}_{4}$ : C, 43.57; H, 6.70; N, 11.29. Found: C, 43.51; H, 6.73; N, 11.31.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathbf{F}_{4}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right.$ (4). To a solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.284 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added a solution of [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0.180 \mathrm{~g}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH $(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise, and stirred for 45 min resulting in a pale-yellow solution. A methanolic solution of $\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}(0.266 \mathrm{~g}, 0.66$ mmol ) ( 4 mL ) (prepared in situ from addition of 2,3,4,5,6pentafluorobenzenethiol to NaH in THF) was added dropwise to give a dark-yellow solution, which was stirred for 30 min . Methanol was removed under vacuum to give a dark-yellow solid. The solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered over Celite to give a darkyellow solution. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into this solution resulted in colorless thick plates (which appear yellow when grown together in clusters) of 4 after 3 weeks. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{FeBF}_{13}$ : C, 37.52; H, 3.56; N, 7.61. Found: C, 37.33; H, 3.49; N, 8.16.

Synthesis of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathbf{I I}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{4}-\boldsymbol{p}-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (5). To a solution of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2} \cdot 6 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(0.239 \mathrm{~g}, 0.707 \mathrm{mmol})$ in MeOH (3 $\mathrm{mL})$ was added a solution of [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}(0.152 \mathrm{~g}, 0.707 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ dropwise, and stirred for 45 min resulting in a paleyellow solution. A red solution of $\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}(0.179 \mathrm{~g}, 1.01$ mmol ) in $\mathrm{MeOH}(4 \mathrm{~mL})$ (prepared from the addition of 4-nitrobenzenethiol to NaH in THF) was added dropwise to give a dark-red solution with orange-yellow precipitate, which was stirred for 30 min. The solvent was removed under vacuum to give a dark-red solid interspersed with small amounts of an orange solid. The solid was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and filtered through Celite to give a darkred solution. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into this solution resulted in dark-red prisms of 5 after 1 day. Yield: 0.240 g ( $66 \%$ ); UV-vis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), \lambda_{\text {max }} 370 \mathrm{~nm}\left(11463 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right), 490 \mathrm{~nm}(\mathrm{sh})$; Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{SFeO}_{2} \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ : C, 39.94; H, 5.92; N, 13.70. Found: C, 39.98; H, 5.96; N, 13.48.

X-ray Crystallography. All X-ray diffraction data were collected on an Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur3 diffractometer, equipped with a Mo K $\alpha$ sealed-tube source and a Sapphire CCD detector. Single crystals of each compound were mounted in oil under a nitrogen cold stream at 110(2) K. Data collection parameters are provided in Supporting Information as CIF files. Selected crystallographic details are provided in Table 1. Data were collected, integrated, scaled, and corrected for absorption using CrysAlis PRO software. ${ }^{40}$ Structures were solved and refined using the SHELXTL suite of software. ${ }^{41}$

Disorder in structures comprising the [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand is well documented. ${ }^{42-55}$ The structures presented here were refined in noncentrosymmetric space groups in order to better model the disorder in the macrocycle. Elongated thermal parameters for the

[^3]Table 1. Crystallographic Information for Complexes 1-5

| parameter | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| formula | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{FeN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BClF}_{4} \mathrm{FeN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{33} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{FeN}_{4} \mathrm{OS}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{BF}_{13} \mathrm{FeN}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{30} \mathrm{BF}_{4} \mathrm{FeN}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ |
| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{r}}$ | 466.18 | 500.62 | 496.20 | 736.26 | 511.18 |
| cryst syst | monoclinic | orthorhombic | orthorhombic | monoclinic | orthorhombic |
| space group | P2 ${ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | Pna2 ${ }_{1}$ | Pna2 ${ }_{1}$ | Cc | Pna2 ${ }_{1}$ |
| $a(\AA)$ | 12.4027(7) | 16.1010(4) | 15.7595(4) | 8.8233(2) | 16.0267(3) |
| $b(\AA)$ | 10.1280(6) | 13.7952(4) | 14.3395(3) | 22.7707(6) | 13.6455(3) |
| $c(\AA)$ | 16.9164(11) | 9.9077(3) | 10.0194(3) | 15.2423(4) | 10.1189(2) |
| $\alpha$ (deg) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $\beta$ (deg) | 92.727(5) | 90 | 90 | 103.444(3) | 90 |
| $\gamma$ (deg) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| $V, \AA^{3}$ | 2122.5(2) | 2200.66(11) | 2264.22(10) | 2978.46(13) | 2212.93(8) |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Cryst color | colorless | colorless | colorless | colorless | dark red |
| $T, \mathrm{~K}$ | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 110 |
| R1 [ $I>2 \sigma(I)]$ | 0.0707 | 0.0719 | 0.0947 | 0.0401 | 0.0831 |
| GOF on $F^{2}$ | 1.253 | 1.071 | 1.076 | 1.047 | 1.080 |

macrocycle atoms suggest that these atoms are significantly disordered. Attempts to further model this disorder did not improve the refinement. In structures $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{5}$, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds were restrained using values given by Blake, et al. ${ }^{43}$ The hydrogen atoms were refined in calculated positions, using appropriate riding models. The atoms in the disordered $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ moieties of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, respectively, were refined isotropically. Complex 4, which is rather well-ordered was refined with no distance restraints, and all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen atoms were located from the residual electron density maps and freely refined, while all $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogen atoms were refined in calculated positions. For further details on all structures, see CIF files in Supporting Information.
Electrochemistry. Cyclic voltammograms were measured with an EG\&G Princeton Applied Research potentiostat/galvanostat model 263 A at scan rates of $0.025-0.5 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{s}$. A three-electrode configuration made up of a platinum working electrode, a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode ( 3.5 M KCl ), and a platinum wire auxiliary electrode was employed. Measurements were performed with 0.1 mM analyte in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at ambient temperatures under argon using 0.10 M tetra- $n$-butylammonium hexafluorophosphate (recrystallized three times from ethanol/water, dried over high vacuum for 3 days, and stored in the dry box) as the supporting electrolyte. The ferrocenium/ferrocene couple $\left(\mathrm{FeCp}_{2}{ }^{+/ 0}\right)$ at 0.45 V was used as an external reference.

Computational Methods. Frequency calculations were performed at optimized geometries. Initial coordinates were taken from the X-ray crystal structures of the iron(II) complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$. Calculations were performed with Gaussian 03 at the B3LYP/6311G level of theory. ${ }^{56}$ The potential energy distribution was obtained by transforming the calculated force constants and normal modes from Cartesian coordinates to an internal coordinate system. ${ }^{57}$

Generation of [([15]aneN $\left.\left.\mathbf{N}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{SAr})(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$for UV-vis Spectroscopy. In a typical reaction, a custom-made Schlenk flask was loaded with a known amount of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ and dissolved in an appropriate volume of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give a final concentration of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}$ complex between 0.3 and 3 mM . A fiber-
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## Scheme 1


optic dip probe with a path length of 2 mm was inserted into the flask under argon, and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After recording the $\mathrm{UV}-$ vis spectrum of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ starting material, 3 equiv of ${ }^{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ (stock solution in $n$-decane) was diluted into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and added to the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex, and a new spectrum was immediately recorded. The colorless solution instantly turned deep red except in the case of $\mathbf{5}$ where the light-orange starting material gradually turned fuchsia. The formation and decay of the new species were followed by UV-vis spectroscopy.

Generation of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \text { aneN }_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$for
Resonance Raman and EPR Spectroscopy. To a Wilmad WG-5 M economy NMR tube or Wilmad Quartz EPR tube containing a known concentration of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15]\right.\right.$ aneN $\left.\left._{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SAr}^{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(500$ $\mu \mathrm{L}$ ) cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, was added 3 equiv of $\mathrm{ROOH}\left(\mathrm{R}={ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right.$ or cumenyl) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. An instant color change from colorless to deep red (light-orange to fuchsia in the case of 5) was observed, indicating the formation of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species. The reaction mixture was then bubbled with Ar or $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ gas in the EPR or NMR tube to obtain a homogeneous solution. Bubbling was kept to a minimum in order to minimize warming of the solution. The solution was then frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at 77 K until either RR or EPR measurements were made.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis. The iron(II) complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ that form the basis of this study were prepared according to Scheme 1. The synthesis of complex 1 was communicated previously. ${ }^{24}$ Reaction of $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ with the tetraamine donor [15] $\mathrm{aneN}_{4}$ in MeOH results in the insertion of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ into the [15]aneN $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ cavity. A dark-
green color forms immediately upon addition of [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ to colorless $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right)_{2}$ in MeOH , which gradually fades to a paleyellow over the course of 45 min . The sodium salt of the appropriate arylthiolate ligand is then added, leading to the production of complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$. Our initial synthesis involved changing the reaction solvent to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ before adding the thiolate ligand, but we have seen improved yields of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complexes when adding $\mathrm{ArS}^{-} \mathrm{Na}^{+}$in MeOH and then removing solvent. Recrystallization of $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 5}$ from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ provided crystals for X-ray diffraction, and was the general method used for preparing pure $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$ for all of the spectroscopic and reactivity studies described herein. Complexes 1 and 2 recrystallize as colorless prisms, complex 3 recrystallizes as colorless rods, while complex 4 recrystallizes as colorless thick plates. The sodium salt of ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ has an intense red color from an intraligand $\pi-\pi^{*}$ transition, which causes crystalline complex 5 to appear as dark-red prisms.

The synthesis of these complexes is sensitive to the ratio of arylthiolate ligand versus iron(II). A slight excess of the thiolate ligand ( $1.25-2.0$ equiv) compared to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ is necessary to obtain these complexes in good yield and avoid other side-products. For example, when lower ratios of $\mathrm{ArS}^{-}$are employed in the syntheses of $\mathbf{1}$ or $\mathbf{2}$, a sideproduct appears as white needleshaped crystals, easily discernible from crystalline 1 or 2 by visual inspection. The use of too large an excess of thiolate donor (3 equiv) in the case of the $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ complex 5 led to the formation of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]\left[\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right]$, where the $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}$counterion has been replaced by a noncoordinating ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ ligand. ${ }^{58}$ For the synthesis of polyfluorinated derivative 4, the target complex was $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right]^{+}$, but nucleophilic displacement of the $p$-F substituent during the initial deprotonation of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5} \mathrm{SH}$ with NaH led to the thioether-linked thiolate ligand shown in Scheme 1.

The modular synthetic approach taken in this study purposefully avoided covalently linking the thiolate donor to the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand in order to allow for systematic variation of the electrondonating/releasing properties of the thiolate ligand. We were pleased to find that an extensive series of mononuclear, fivecoordinate iron(II) complexes could be assembled in this manner, provided that the thiolate-to-iron ratios are carefully controlled. Other iron(II) complexes with $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate) donor sets include $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{L}^{8} \mathrm{py}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{SAr}\right.\right.$ or $\left.\left.\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\left(\mathrm{~L}^{8} \mathrm{py}_{2}=1,5-\right.$ Bis(pyridin-2-ylmethyl)-1,5-diazocane), which were prepared as models of SOR by a similar self-assembly approach, ${ }^{59,60}$ and the covalently linked, thiolate-ligated complexes [ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ (cyclam$\operatorname{PrS})] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{Me} 2} \mathrm{~N}_{4}(\right.\right.$ tren $\left.\left.)\right)\right] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ (tren $=$ tris(2-aminoethyl)amine) from Kovacs and co-workers. ${ }^{36,61,62}$ However, this study is the first report, to our knowledge, that allows for a direct assessment of the electronic influence of the thiolate donor at parity of ligand environment for a series of $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate) -iron complexes.

X-ray Structural Studies. The X-ray crystal structures of 2-5 are presented as ORTEP diagrams in Figure 1. Structural parameters are shown in Table 1, and selected bond lengths
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Figure 1. ORTEP diagrams of the complex cations of 2, 3, 4, and $\mathbf{5}$ showing $30 \%$ probability ellipsoids. Hydrogen atoms were omitted for clarity except for the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ groups. The carbon atoms for the macrocycle in $\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{3}$, and $\mathbf{5}$ as well as the phenyl substituents of $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ are drawn as isotropic spheres for clarity.
and angles for each complex are given in Table 2. The structure of $\mathbf{1}$ has been reported previously, ${ }^{24}$ but is included in Tables 1 and 2 for comparison. Each cationic iron(II) complex is fivecoordinate, with four nitrogen donors from the [15]aneN $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand and one sulfur donor from the $\mathrm{ArS}^{-}$ligand completing the coordination sphere. The conformation for [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ is the same in each structure, with one $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group $(\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{H})$ on the same side of the macrocyclic plane as the thiolate ligand, while the other three $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ groups lie on the opposite side. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances for $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 5}$ range from $2.3197(12)-2.3426(8) \AA$, showing little variance across the series and falling in the typical range for high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{SR}$ complexes. ${ }^{63-66}$ For complexes $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$, the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ distances range between 2.075(7)-2.273(3) $\AA$ and are similar to other high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{II})$ complexes, including the related $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate) $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}(\mathrm{Me} 4\right.$ cyclam $)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\right.$ $p-\mathrm{OMe})]^{+}(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}=2.183(6)-2.279(6) \AA) .{ }^{60}$ For complexes $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{4}$ the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}(3)$ distance (1: 2.138(3); 2: 2.075(5) $\AA$; 3: $2.095(8) \AA ; 4: 2.088(3) \AA$ ) is slightly shorter than the other three $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds. These short distances may be a consequence of steric constraints imposed by the [15]aneN ${ }_{4}$ ligand. The longest $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ distance in $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ is observed for $\mathrm{N}(2)$, which is also the only $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ group on the same side of the macrocycle as the sulfur donor. The $p$-nitro-benzenethiolate complex 5
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| cmpd | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 2.164(3) | 2.206(7) | 2.223(8) | 2.161(3) | 2.132(10) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 2.273(3) | 2.251(8) | 2.248(8) | 2.250 ((3) | 2.097(11) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 2.138(3) | $2.075(7)$ | 2.095(8) | 2.088(3) | 2.137(16) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 2.206(3) | 2.160(7) | 2.188(7) | 2.229(3) | 2.069(9) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ | $2.3316(11)$ | 2.3197(12) | 2.3240 (17) | 2.3426 (8) | $2.3305(15)$ |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 79.45(12) | 77.7(3) | 77.8(3) | 79.86(11) | 72.3(6) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 133.56(13) | 133.4(3) | 132.5(3) | 132.06(12) | 137.4(4) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 85.98(12) | 85.8(3) | 84.9(3) | 84.60(10) | 86.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 94.49(12) | 96.0(3) | 95.5(3) | 95.78(12) | 95.2(5) |
| $\mathrm{N} 2-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 163.08(13) | 162.0(3) | 161.0(3) | 162.26(11) | 153.2(8) |
| N3-Fe-N4 | 89.30(12) | 90.1(3) | 90.4(3) | 88.28(12) | 89.4(6) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 1$ | 115.33(10) | 109.4(2) | 110.8(2) | 107.85(8) | 107.0(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 2$ | 86.10(9) | 96.6(2) | 97.3(2) | 100.04(9) | 104.2(7) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 3$ | 110.03(10) | 117.2(2) | 116.7(2) | 119.83(9) | 115.5(3) |
| $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 108.10(10) | 95.59(17) | 96.06(18) | 92.79(8) | 97.5(2) |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}$ | 116.90(12) | 111.34(15) | $111.3(4),{ }^{\text {a }} 106.0(5)^{b}$ | 112.29(10) | 109.7(6), ${ }^{\text {a }} 110.3(5)^{b}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(13)$ | 97.6(3) | -90.6(5) | -80.8(10), ${ }^{a}-105.9(8)^{b}$ | -132.8(2) | $90.3(11),{ }^{a} 89.7(10)^{b}$ |
| $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(17)$ | -87.5(3) | 94.0(5) | 102.2(12), ${ }^{a} 82.7(13){ }^{b}$ | 52.0(3) | -92.9(14), ${ }^{a}-92.7(11)^{b}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Major component. ${ }^{b}$ Minor component.
exhibits $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ bond distances that are on the lower end of the range seen for $\mathbf{1 - 5}$. These shorter distances may be a consequence of the weaker donation from the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-substituted phenylthiolate ligand, which results in a more electropositive iron(II) center as evidenced by electrochemistry (vide infra). In addition, the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ complex 5 exhibits a geometry closer to square pyramidal (sp) as determined by its $\tau$ value ${ }^{67}$ of 0.27 ( $\tau$ $=0.0$ for idealized sp and 1.0 for idealized tbp) compared to $\tau$ $\approx 0.5$ for $\mathbf{1 - 4}$, with its thiolate ligand occupying the axial position. A combination of the electron-poor nature of $\mathbf{5}$ along with this subtle geometry change may account for the shorter $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{N}$ distances in 5.
The precise orientation of the thiolate donor in both metal-thiolate models and metalloproteins has been suggested to be important for controlling the sulfur-metal bonding interactions and related properties, including reduction potentials. ${ }^{60,68,69}$ The orientation of the arylthiolate ligands in $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ can be defined by the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}$ angle and $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}{ }^{\prime}$ dihedral angle. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}$ angles for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$ are similar, lying between 109.7(6)-116.90(12) ${ }^{\circ}$. The $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}{ }^{\prime}$ dihedral angles are also similar for $\mathbf{1 - 3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$, varying between $90.3(11)-105.9(8)^{\circ}$, while for the fluorinated complex 4 this angle is $132.8(2)^{\circ}$. Interestingly, the packing diagram of complex 4 shows a $\pi$-stacking interaction in the solid state between the $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ ring of one molecule and $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ ring of a neighboring molecule ( $X, 1-Y,-1 / 2+Z$ ), characterized by the following geometric parameters: $\mathrm{Ct}-\mathrm{Ct}=3.586 \AA(\mathrm{Ct}=$ centroid of the ring), $\alpha=9.30^{\circ}(\alpha=$ dihedral angle between the stacking planes) and $D_{\perp}=3.296 \AA$ and $3.403 \AA$ ( $D_{\perp}=$ perpendicular distances from one ring to the plane of the other ring). This $\pi$-stacking interaction may be perturbing the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}-\mathrm{C}_{\text {aryl }}{ }^{\prime}$ dihedral angle.

Comparison to the Structure of the Reduced Enzyme, $\mathbf{S O R}_{\text {red }}$. All of the model complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ are five-coordinate iron(II) complexes with one open site on the metal, as found in SOR $_{\text {red }}$. The $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ (thiolate) donor set of $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ is a good mimic of
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Figure 2. Cyclic voltammograms for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{X}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathrm{X}$ $\left.=\mathrm{H}(\mathbf{1}), \mathrm{Cl}(\mathbf{2}), \mathrm{OMe}(\mathbf{3}), \mathrm{NO}_{2}(\mathbf{5})\right)$ and $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (4), measured in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ using a $\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}$ reference electrode (3.5 M KCl).
the coordination sphere of the iron(II) center in the active site. The $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{S}$ bond distances in $\mathbf{1 - 5}$, which fall in the normal range, are somewhat shorter than that found in the enzyme ( X ray structure: $:^{70} 2.40-2.44 \AA$; EXAFS: ${ }^{71} 2.37 \AA$ ). It is not clear at present why SOR exhibits an elongated iron-sulfur bond. In addition, complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ show geometries between that of square pyramidal and trigonal bipyramidal, whereas the protein exhibits a more purely square pyramidal geometry at the iron(II) center.

Electrochemistry. Complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ were characterized by cyclic voltammetry, as shown in Figure 2. Each complex exhibits a single irreversible oxidation whose position varies with the identity of the para substituent on the arylthiolate ligand. We assign these anodic peaks $\left(E_{\mathrm{pa}}\right)$ for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$ to the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III/II }}$ couples of these complexes, and they are listed in Table 3 together with other relevant $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III/II }}$ redox potentials for related complexes. Although caution must be used when analyzing irreversible waves, the trends that emerge from comparing the
(70) Yeh, A. P.; Hu, Y. L.; Jenney, F. E., Jr.; Adams, M. W. W.; Rees, D. C. Biochemistry 2000, 39, 2499-2508.
(71) Clay, M. D.; Jenney, F. E., Jr.; Hagedoorn, P. L.; George, G. N.; Adams, M. W. W.; Johnson, M. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 788-805.

Table 3. Electrochemical Data for $\left(\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~S}\right.$ (thiolate) ) Fe" Complexes

| complex | $E(\mathrm{mV})$ vs SHE ( $E_{\text {pa }}(\mathrm{mV})$ vs $\left.\mathrm{Ag} / \mathrm{AgCl}\right)$ | solvent | ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (1) | $706^{a, b}$ (501) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | this work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ (2) | $786^{a, b}$ (581) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | this work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(\mathbf{3})$ | $651^{a, b}$ (446) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | this work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}\right.$ (4) | $1018^{a, b}$ (813) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | this work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(5)$ | $853^{a, b}$ (648) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | this work |
| $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{cyclam}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ | $812^{a, c}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 60 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{L}^{8} \mathrm{py}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}$ | $857^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 59 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}\right.$ (cyclam-PrS) $] \mathrm{BPh}_{4}$ | $462^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ | 36 |

${ }^{a}$ Anodic potentials for the irreversible oxidation wave. ${ }^{b} E$ versus SHE values were obtained by adding 205 mV to the recorded values versus $\mathrm{Ag} /$ $\mathrm{AgCl} .{ }^{c} E$ versus SHE values were obtained by adding 242 mV to the reported literature values versus SCE.
different values in Table 3 deserve attention. There is a clear increase in the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III/II }}$ potential for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$ as the para substituent changes from electron-donating to electron-withdrawing, demonstrating that the thiolate donor has a dramatic influence over the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {IIIIII }}$ couple. It is not easy to predict a priori where fluorinated complex 4 should appear in the series, but the CV data show that ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ has the weakest electrondonating ability, exhibiting an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III/II }}$ couple that is 165 mV more positive than the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$-substituted complex 5 . The $p$-OMe complex 3 reveals a significantly less positive oxidation potential $(\Delta E=161 \mathrm{mV})$ than the related cyclam complex $\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4}[14]-\right.\right.$ ane $\left.\left.\mathrm{N}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}{ }^{60}$ This complex contains the same arylthiolate ligand as $\mathbf{3}$ but includes the smaller 14membered macrocyclic $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ donor and has N -methylated groups instead of secondary $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ groups. The change in ring size is unlikely to account for the observed difference in oxidation potentials, given that the potentials for the cobalt complexes $\left.\left[\mathrm{Co}[15-14] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{+}$are in the opposite order ([15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ stabilizes $\mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{II}}$ relative to [14]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ). ${ }^{72}$ The presence of the methyl groups on the amine donors might be expected to cause the opposite shift as well. However, experimental evidence and density functional theory (DFT) calculations for [(cyclamacetate) FeF ] $\mathrm{PF}_{6}$ and (trimethylcyclamacetate) FeF$] \mathrm{PF}_{6}$ show that the N -methylated ligand is in fact a weaker donor for both steric and electronic reasons. ${ }^{73}$ Thus, $N$-methylation may be responsible for making it significantly more difficult to oxidize $\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4}[14] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)$ $\left.\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}\right)\right] \mathrm{OTf}$ compared to complex 3 .

The $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }} / \mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}$ redox potential for SORs isolated from different organisms have been reported to fall between 200-365 mV . ${ }^{71,74-76}$ Complexes $\mathbf{1} \mathbf{- 5}$ and the other model complexes in Table 3 are considerably more difficult to oxidize than the enzyme. The difference between the enzyme potentials and the models in Table 3 may arise from several environmental factors, such as solvent (organic solvents for the models versus aqueous conditions for the protein) and the presence of a Glu residue in the enzyme that coordinates to the iron(III) form of the protein. There are also $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{S}$ bonds in the protein that would be expected to drive the redox potential toward a more positive
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## Scheme 2


value, ${ }^{77,78}$ but computational models of the SOR active site show a surprising opposite effect in which the incorporation of $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}--\mathrm{S}$ bonds causes a significant lowering of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III} / \mathrm{II}}$ redox potential. ${ }^{22}$ Despite the discrepancies between the models and the protein, the data for $\mathbf{1}-\mathbf{5}$ provide a clear trend which shows that the nature of the thiolate donor has a strong influence over the oxidation potential, as proposed for SOR. ${ }^{16,22}$

Reaction of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }} \mathbf{~ C o m p l e x e s ~ w i t h ~ R O O H . ~ I n ~ a n ~ e a r l i e r ~ r e p o r t ~}$ we showed that 1 reacts at low temperature $\left(-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ with the alkylhydroperoxides ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ and cumenylOOH to give the corresponding dark-red, alkylperoxo-iron(III) species. Characterization of these low-temperature, metastable $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-$ OOR intermediates by UV-vis, EPR, and RR spectroscopies showed that they are low-spin $(S=1 / 2) \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$-OOR complexes with weakened $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. In this study we have significantly expanded this class of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species via the reaction of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ and cumenylOOH with complexes $\mathbf{2 - 5}$ to give lowtemperature metastable $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species.

UV-Vis Spectroscopy. Complexes $\mathbf{1 - 5}$ react with ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to give the low-temperature adducts $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{5 a}$ (Scheme 2). Low-temperature UV-vis spectra for these species are shown in Figure 3. The iron(II) complexes $\mathbf{1 - 4}$ are rapidly converted from colorless or light-yellow to dark-red upon introduction of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ complex 5 is red in color because of an intraligand absorption band from the thiolate donor, and the solution of $\mathbf{5}$ changes to fuchsia upon reaction with ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Each complex exhibits an intense band ( $\left.\epsilon=1800-3100 \mathrm{M}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ between 508 and 526 nm (Figure 3), for which the peak maxima and molar absorptivity are characteristic of alkylperoxo-to-iron(III) LMCT bands. ${ }^{26,29,30,79}$ This peak shifts to higher energy in the order 1a $(p-\mathrm{H})<\mathbf{2 a}(p-\mathrm{Cl})<\mathbf{5 a}\left(p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)<\mathbf{3 a}(p-\mathrm{OMe})<\mathbf{4 a}$ $\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)$. This ordering follows an increase in the
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Figure 3. UV-vis spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}$complexes $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5} \mathbf{a}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
electron-withdrawing power of the para substituent and the related $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III} / \mathrm{II}}$ oxidation potential for each complex, with the exception of the $p$-OMe complex 3a. Interestingly, the shift of the peak to higher energy for complexes 1a, 2a, 4a, and 5a with an increase in the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II} / \mathrm{II}}$ couple is opposite to that observed for a series of nonthiolate ligated, polypyridyliron(III)-hydroperoxo species. ${ }^{80}$ The differences in the low-temperature UV-vis spectra for $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ provide strong evidence that the thiolate ligands remain coordinated upon oxidation to the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species and exert a significant influence over the spectroscopic features of these species.
The UV-vis data for the $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ complex provides more information regarding the fate of the thiolate donor because of the intraligand band associated with ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$. The UV-vis spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right]\left(\mathrm{BF}_{4}\right) 5$, the corresponding $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ species $\mathbf{5 a}$, and the product obtained after the decay of $\mathbf{5 a}$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ are shown in Figure 4. The spectrum for the dark-red iron(II) complex 5 exhibits an intense,
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Figure 4. UV-vis spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\right] \mathrm{BF}_{4}(5)$ (blue circles), $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}(5 \mathbf{5 a})$ (red triangles), and the decomposition product (green squares).
broad band at 370 nm (blue circles). The sodium salt of the free thiolate ligand $\left(\mathrm{NaSC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)$ is also dark red in color and exhibits a peak at 422 nm in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{MeOH}$ (99:1), which has been assigned as an intraligand transition ${ }^{81,82}$ (data not shown). Thus coordination of ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ induces a 52 nm blue-shift of this intraligand band (blue circles), and this transition is clearly sensitive to the local environment of the sulfur atom as has been shown previously. ${ }^{82}$ Upon reaction of 5 with ${ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ to give the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ species $\mathbf{5 a}$, the transition associated with the $p$-nitro-benzenethiolate moves to 352 nm (red triangles), concomitant with the appearance of the alkylperoxo LMCT band at 522 nm . The final spectrum exhibits a band at 327 nm that matches that of the disulfide $p-\mathrm{NO}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}$ $\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ measured independently. These data indicate that the thiolate donor remains coordinated to the iron center in $\mathbf{5 a}$, giving rise to the unique band at 352 nm , which converts to disulfide upon decomposition of $\mathbf{5 a}$. Attempts to isolate the iron(III) product(s) after warming the reaction to room temperature have been unsuccessful, probably due to the decomposition of the complexes via disulfide formation.
Proposed Mechanism of Formation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-$ OOR. A possible mechanism of formation of $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{5 a}, \mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 b}$, involves initial oxidation of the iron(II) complex by ROOH to give an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OH}$ complex, which then reacts with ROOH by displacement of $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$to give the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$-OOR species and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. This mechanism has been proposed by Que and co-workers for the formation of similar $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species, and in one case the identification of an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OH}$ complex $\left[\left(6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{TPA}) \mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)(\mathrm{OH})\right]^{+}\left(6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{TPA}=\operatorname{tris}(6-m e t h y l-2-\right.$ pyridylmethyl)amine) was obtained via ESI-MS after addition of 0.5 equivalents of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ to $\left[\left(6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{TPA}\right) \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)\right]^{+} .{ }^{83}$ Subsequent formation of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species $\left[\left(6-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\right.\right.$ TPA $\left.\left.) \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)\right]^{+}\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}$was observed upon addition of excess (5 equiv) ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$.

According to the former mechanism, the stoichiometry of the first step should account for 0.5 equiv ROOH reacting with 1.0 equiv $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ to give the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OH}$ species, and 1.0 equiv ROOH displacing the putative $\mathrm{OH}^{-}$ligand, resulting in a final stoichiometry of $1.5 \mathrm{ROOH}: 1.0 \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ in the production of the alkylperoxo complex. We thus quantitated the stoichiometry of the reaction between 1 and ${ }^{t} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ to gain insight into the proposed mechanism. Successive addition of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ ( 0.2 equiv per addition, 4.0 M stock solution of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ in decane) to $\mathbf{1}$
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Figure 5. X-band, 9 GHz , EPR spectrum of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{Cl})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}(2 \mathbf{a})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 15 K . Experimental conditions: Frequency, 9.475 GHz ; microwave power, 2.012 mW ; modulation frequency, 100.00 kHz ; modulation amplitude, 10.00 G ; receiver gain, $5.02 \times 10^{3}$.


Figure 6. Resonance Raman spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}$ complexes $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{5 a}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 110 K obtained with 514-nm excitation. Intense Raman bands from the solvent are observed between 700 and 750 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The $849-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ band in $\mathbf{5 a}$ is assigned to the $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ scissoring mode.
at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ revealed maximal formation of $1 \mathrm{a}(\lambda=526 \mathrm{~nm})$ between 1.5 and 2.0 equiv of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ (Figure S1). The overall stoichiometry of ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{BuOOH}$ to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ matches well with that predicted by the former mechanism.

EPR Spectroscopy. An X-band EPR spectrum collected at 15 K of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ species $\mathbf{2 a}$ is shown in Figure 5, and is representative of the series $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$, which all show very similar EPR spectra. This spectrum is indicative of a low-spin ( $S=1 / 2$ ) $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complex with axial symmetry, with $g$ values of 2.19 and 1.97 . The small signal at $g=4.3$ is assigned to a high-spin iron(III) impurity. It was shown previously ${ }^{24}$ that the low-spin iron(III) EPR signal for $\mathbf{1 a}$ decays concomitantly with the red chromophore at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the high-spin iron(III) signal remains unchanged. This behavior was confirmed for 2a, where a similar correlation for the decay of the EPR signal and red chromophore has been observed. These observations rule out the $g=4.3$ signal as being associated with the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ chromophore.

Analysis of $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ Bond Strengths from RR Spectroscopy. Definitive evidence for the identification of the low-temperature chromophores $\mathbf{1 a}-\mathbf{5 a}$ as $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ species comes from RR spectroscopy (Figure 6). The dominant band in the $600-650 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ range is assigned to the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ unit, while the band near $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ is assigned to the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching vibration. These vibrations
are resonance-enhanced with laser excitation coinciding with the alkylperoxo-to-iron(III) LMCT band. In all compounds studied here, the RR spectra obtained with a $514-\mathrm{nm}$ excitation show no evidence of resonance enhanced $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S})$ modes associated with the intermediate species (data not shown). The lack of enhancement of $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S})$ modes suggests that the LMCT transitions have no sulfur-to-iron(III) LMCT character. The $v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ falls in the expected region for a low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl) species, but the $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ ) is lower than usual (Table 4). Confirmation of these assignments comes from the observed isotopic shifts for $1 \mathrm{a}^{-18} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\left(\nu\left(\mathrm{Fe}-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)=584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$; $\left.v\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)=757 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) .{ }^{24}$ The 28 - and $46-\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ downshifts observed for the $\Delta v\left(\mathrm{Fe}-{ }^{16 / 18} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and $\Delta v\left({ }^{16 / 18} \mathrm{O}-{ }^{16 / 18} \mathrm{O}\right)$ modes, respectively, are in perfect agreement with predicted values for isolated diatomic vibrations. Equivalent ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-shifts are observed for the $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ modes of $\mathbf{2 a}, \mathbf{4 a}$, and $\mathbf{5 a}$ (Figure S2, Supporting Information). Overlapping solvent bands and a Fermi splitting of the $v\left({ }^{18} \mathrm{O}-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}\right)$ modes makes it difficult to quantify the extent of the ${ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$-shifts on the $v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$, but the spectral changes observed are sufficient to confirm the assignment of the $v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ mode.
Based on the RR data for 1a, we previously noted that the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is surprisingly weak compared to other low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-$ OOR complexes where R is an alkyl group. ${ }^{24}$ Indeed, other low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}$-OOR complexes exhibit relatively strong $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds and weak $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, ${ }^{26,29,30,79}$ as opposed to their high-spin analogues which give rise to weak $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ and strong $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. ${ }^{28,31,33}$ The vibrational frequencies observed previously for other low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ complexes and those observed for $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ are given in Table 4. An earlier study of the low-spin complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{TPA})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{n}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{n+}$ (entry 6, Table 4) showed evidence of vibrational mixing within the Fe OOtBu unit (exptl: $\Delta^{16 / 18} \mathrm{O}=24 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; theor. diatomic approx.: $\Delta^{16 / 18} \mathrm{O}=31 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), and a normal coordinate analysis coupled with DFT calculations was used to derive a force constant of $3.53 \mathrm{mdyn} / \AA$ for the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{TPA})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{n}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{OO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{n+} .{ }^{29}$ This force constant together with those of the other complexes in Table 4 are significantly larger than those for 1a-5a. The 1a to $\mathbf{5 a}$ series presents weaker $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds than other iron(III)-alkylperoxo complexes lacking the thiolate ligand and implicates the unique thiolate donor in weakening this bond.

The influence of the sulfur donor can be seen in the correlation between the energy of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrations for $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ and the identity of the thiolate ligand. Specifically, the $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ shifts to higher energy as the electron-withdrawing character of the aryl group increases. In contrast, the $v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ is unaffected by the thiolate donor, and the $k_{\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}}$ is nearly constant in the $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ series and is similar to those of previously reported low-spin complexes lacking a thiolate ligand (Table 4). These RR data show that the electron-donating ability of the arylthiolate ligand controls the strength of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond without affecting the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond.

A similar dependence on the electron-donating ability of the arylthiolate ligand is observed for the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOCm}(\mathrm{Cm}=$ $\left.\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ species. The RR spectra of these complexes show $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ modes between 622 and $631 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (Figure 7) which are $\sim 10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ higher than in the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ complexes. As with the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OOtBu}$ complexes, the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}^{18} \mathrm{OCm}$ complexes show RR spectra that confirm the $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ assignment, but the extent of the isotope shifts come short of the expected values for isolated diatomic vibrations and suggest that mode mixing occurs with the cumenyl derivatives (Figure S3). The frequency

Table 4. Vibrational Data Obtained from Resonance Raman Spectroscopy for Low-Spin Fe ${ }^{\text {III }}$-OO'Bu Complexes

| low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}$ complex | $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)(\mathrm{Fe}-0)$ | $v\left(\mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)(0-0)$ | $k(\mathrm{Fe}-0)^{2}(\mathrm{mdyn} / \mathrm{Å})$ | $k(0-0)^{a}(\mathrm{mdyn} / \AA$ ) | ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}{ }^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}(1 \mathbf{a})$ | 611 | 803 | 2.74 | 3.03 | $b$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}$(2a) | 612 | 803 | 2.75 | 3.03 | $b$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}$(3a) | 608 | 802 | 2.71 | 3.03 | $b$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}(5 \mathrm{a})$ | 615 | 800 | 2.77 | 3.02 | $b$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\left(\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{+}(4 \mathbf{a})$ | 623 | 799 | 2.85 | 3.01 | $b$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{TPA})\left(\mathrm{OH}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)\right]^{\mathrm{n}+, c}$ | 696 | 796 | $3.53{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $2.92{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 29 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{TPA})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\text { acetone })\right]^{+}$ | 693 | 788 | 3.52 | 2.93 | 26 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {IIII }}\left(5-\mathrm{Me}_{3}-\mathrm{TPA}\right)\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\text { acetone })\right]^{+}$ | 691 | 789 | 3.50 | 2.93 | 26 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\mathrm{BzOH})\right]^{2+}$ | 678 | 808 | 3.37 | 3.08 | 30 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\beta-\mathrm{BPMCN})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\text {t }} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\mathrm{X})\right]^{2+, e}$ | 685 | 793 | 3.44 | 2.96 | 79 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\beta-\mathrm{BPMCN})\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\mathrm{X})\right]^{2+, f}$ | 680 | 789 | 3.39 | 2.93 | 79 |

${ }^{a}$ Force constants calculated for a diatomic oscillator using $\nu\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)=1302.83[k(\mathrm{mdyn} / \AA) / \mu]^{1 / 2}$, where $\mu$ is the diatomic reduced mass, unless otherwise noted. ${ }^{b}$ This work. ${ }^{c}$ Recently reported as $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{TPA})\left(\mathrm{OO}{ }^{t} \mathrm{Bu}\right)(\mathrm{NCMe}]^{n+}\right.$ in the same solvent $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right) .{ }^{26}{ }^{d}$ Obtained from DFT calculations using a combined NCA/DFT approach. ${ }^{e} \mathrm{X}=$ NCMe or $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} .{ }^{f} \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{OTf}$ or ROOH.


Figure 7. Resonance Raman spectra of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{SAr})(\mathrm{OOCm})\right]^{+}$ complexes $\mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{4 b}$, and $\mathbf{5 b}$ (same experimental conditions as in Figure $6)$.
up-shifts observed with the $v(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ may be due to the different steric requirements of the cumenyl group versus the tert-butyl group and conformational changes in the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OOR}$ moiety. Despite these changes, and as seen earlier with the tBu series, the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequencies increase with increasing electron-withdrawing character from the para-substituent on the arylthiolate ligand. Once again, these results support a trans effect of the thiolate donor, where both $\sigma$ - and $\pi$-bonding interactions between the iron(III) ion and the alkylperoxo ligand are weakened by the thiolate donor competing for the same metal d orbitals.

Theoretical calculations on the alkylperoxo species were carried out to obtain further insights into the trends observed in the vibrational data. Density functional theory calculations (B3LYP/6-311G) ${ }^{56}$ were performed on a model of the alkylperoxo intermediates in which the ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ or Cm group was replaced with a methyl group, $\left[\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)(\mathrm{OOMe})(\mathrm{SAr})\right]^{+}\right.$. The geometries were optimized beginning from the X-ray structural coordinates of the corresponding iron(II) starting materials, and both high-spin and low-spin iron(III) configurations ( $S=5 / 2$ or $1 / 2$ ) were evaluated. The low-spin species were found to be the lowest in energy for all five thiolate ligands. ${ }^{84}$ The calculated vibrational frequencies for the low-spin complexes reveal that

[^12]the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretch strengthens upon decreasing the electrondonating ability of the thiolate donor, whereas the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ stretch shows less variation: $\operatorname{SAr}(\nu(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}), \nu(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}))=\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}$ (586, $776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ); $\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\left(584,774 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ ); $\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}(595$, $\left.781 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) ; \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\left(599,775 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right) ; \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ ( $600,776 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The calculated $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ distances also vary with the arylthiolate substituents, becoming shorter with decreasing electron-donating character, while the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distance shows no such correlation: $\mathrm{SAr}(d(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}), d(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}))=\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{OMe}$ (1.875, $1.532 \AA$ ) ; $\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}(1.873,1.532 \AA) ; \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{Cl}(1.870$, $1.532 \AA) ; \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{NO}_{2}(1.864,1.531 \AA) ; \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{4}-p-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{~F}_{5}$ $(1.858,1.530 \AA)$. These findings are in agreement with the trends observed experimentally for the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ vibrations with changes in the identity of the thiolate ligand, and support the idea that the thiolate donor modulates the strength of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the alkylperoxo species.

The [15]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand, as well as related macrocycles such as [14]ane $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ (cyclam), are flexible and potentially allow for both cis and trans geometries in complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{M}\right.$ (macrocycle) $\left.\mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$, depending on the size of the metal ion and nature of the coligands L. Although there are no structurally well-defined iron(III) complexes of the [15]aneN $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand, ${ }^{85}$ there are a number of other six-coordinate $\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complexes $\left(\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right] \quad(\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Co}, \mathrm{Cr}, \mathrm{Rh} ; \mathrm{L}=\right.$ monodentate ligand)). ${ }^{46,72,86-88}$ All of these complexes exist exclusively in the trans geometry, as opposed to smaller macrocycles such as cyclam, which exhibit both cis- and trans-isomers for complexes of the type $\left[\mathrm{M}^{\mathrm{III}}\right.$ (cyclam) $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ ]. Molecular mechanics calculations from Busch and Hay indicated that the trans geometry is energetically preferred for the [15]aneN $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand, providing a proper "fit" around the metal center. ${ }^{72,86}$ Thus we conclude that the thiolate ligands in the Fe-OOR complexes are coordinated trans to the OOR ligand and are weakening the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ interaction through a trans effect.

Our spectroscopic study of these Fe-OOR complexes shows that low-spin iron(III) centers do not necessarily give rise to strong $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ species. Interestingly, a weakened $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond was also recently observed by Kovacs and co-workers for the high-spin complex $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}\right.$ (cyclam$\operatorname{PrS})(\mathrm{OOH})]^{+}$, and was attributed to a trans influence of the thiolate donor. ${ }^{36}$ These observations contrast with a series of high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOR}$ complexes $\left[\left(\mathrm{L}^{8} \mathrm{py}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{X}) \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\mathrm{OOR})\right]^{+}$
(85) Hay, R. W.; Fraser, I. Polyhedron 1997, 16, 2223-2227.
(86) Hay, R. W.; Tarafder, M. T. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1991, 823-827.
(87) Islam, M. S.; Uddin, M. M. Polyhedron 1993, 12, 423-426.
(88) Bhattacharya, P. K. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 810-812.
( $\mathrm{L}^{8} \mathrm{py}_{2}=$ neutral $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ donor, $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}-p-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~S}^{-}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{-}$, OTf $^{-}$), where the $\mathrm{N}_{4}$ ligand enforces a trans X -Fe-OOR arrangement, and where the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is not affected by the nature of the trans ligand. ${ }^{33}$ The $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}(\text { cyclam- } \mathrm{PrS})(\mathrm{OOH})\right]^{+}$ complex is currently unique among high-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ species in exhibiting the same trans influence on the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond as observed in $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}, \mathbf{1 b}, \mathbf{2 b}, \mathbf{4 b}$, and $\mathbf{5 b}$. It should be noted that with the exception of $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\text { cyclam-PrS })(\mathrm{OOH})\right]^{+}$, all trans[ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}($ cyclam $) \mathrm{L}_{2}$ ] complexes are found in low-spin configurations. For example, even the very weak-field donor $\mathrm{OTf}^{-}$yields low-spin iron(III) in $\left[\text { trans-(cyclam-acetato) } \mathrm{Fe}^{\text {II }}(\mathrm{OTf})\right]^{+},{ }^{89}$ whereas cis-[ $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}($ cyclam $\left.) \mathrm{L}_{2}\right]$ complexes are uniformly high-spin. Although a cis configuration for $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}(\text { cyclam-PrS })(\mathrm{OOH})\right]^{+}$can not be entirely ruled out based on the available data, as described this complex provides another example of a thiolate-induced trans influence for an $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OO}$ (H or R) species.

Implications for the SOR Mechanism. Examination of the reaction between native SOR and $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$generated by pulse radiolysis by different research groups has led to the observation of a transient intermediate characterized by a UV-vis band with $\lambda_{\text {max }}=600 \mathrm{~nm} .{ }^{14,17,19,21,90}$ Kurtz has recently reported the generation of this same 600 nm intermediate by stopped-flow mixing of SOR and $\mathrm{KO}_{2} .{ }^{91}$ This intermediate has been proposed to be an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H})$ species, but has thus far eluded characterization by other spectroscopic methods. However, reaction of SOR with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ has allowed for the trapping and characterization of an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}$-peroxo species, initially formulated as an $\mathrm{Fe}^{1 \mathrm{II}-}-\eta^{2}-\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{2-}$ ("side-on" peroxo) species. ${ }^{92,93}$ Highresolution X-ray structural data has become available on a mutant SOR (E114A) in which the crystals were first oxidized to $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and then soaked in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ prior to freezing. ${ }^{15}$ The structural data revealed a terminal, "end-on" bound hydroper-oxo-iron(III) species trapped in different configurations. Earlier predictions from DFT calculations suggested that a side-on peroxide bonding mode was not sterically feasible for the SOR active site and favored a low-spin, end-on $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ species. ${ }^{94}$ These calculations combined with the recent X-ray structural analysis argue for assignment of the species generated in solution between SOR and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ as a terminally bound $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ species. New DFT calculations from Solomon and co-workers, ${ }^{22}$ which take into account the influence of the putative $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$--$S^{\text {cys }}$ hydrogen bonds in SOR, also predicts an end-on, protonated peroxide $\left(\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OOH}\right)$ as the first detectable intermediate in the catalytic mechanism, although these calculations favor a highspin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}$ ground-state as opposed to the low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-\mathrm{OOH}$ predicted in the earlier DFT study. ${ }^{94}$

Although the relationship of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-derived species to the native catalytic pathway is an open question, analysis of the structural and spectroscopic data of the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-derived intermediate has provided valuable information regarding the inherent properties of an Fe-(hydro)peroxo moiety in the SOR active site. Most pertinent to the present study is recent work by

[^13]Nivière and co-workers, who sought to determine the role of the cysteine donor by examining a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-derived intermediate for a mutant form of SOR from $D$. baarsii in which the iron-sulfur bond was perturbed. ${ }^{18}$ In this study $\mathrm{Glu}^{114}$, which is found in proximity to the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ bond, was replaced with Ala, causing a disruption of the dipolar interaction between Glu ${ }^{114}$ and the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ unit. In the oxidized form of the mutant (obtained by treating the mutant with $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{IrCl}_{6}$ ), a downshift of the $\mathrm{Fe}^{3+}-\mathrm{S}$ vibrational modes ( $291 / 313 / 311 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for E114A; 299/316/323 for wild-type) was observed, indicating a significant weakening of the iron-sulfur bond. Reaction of the reduced form of the mutant with a slight excess of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ followed by rapid freezing ( $<5 \mathrm{~s}$ ) allowed for freeze-trapping of an iron-peroxo intermediate and subsequent examination by RR spectroscopy. The RR spectrum showed intense $\nu(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ and $\nu(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ bands at 446 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $851 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In comparison, RR data on $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-derived intermediates from both wild-type and E47A-SOR revealed lower $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ stretching frequencies $\left(\nu(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})=438 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ but the same $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ stretch $\left(v(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})=850-851 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. Thus a major conclusion from this work was that the E114A mutation induces a weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ bond, which in turn causes a significant strengthening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the (hydro)peroxo intermediate, but no change in the $O-O$ bond.
The results presented herein are strikingly similar to the recent findings for the E114A mutant of the enzyme, namely that the weakening of the arylthiolate donor in $\left[\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}\left([15] \mathrm{aneN}_{4}\right)\right.$ (SAr)(OOR) $]^{+}$induces a significant strengthening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, while leaving the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond unperturbed. Although these $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$-OOR model complexes are low-spin, while the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ intermediate in E114A SOR is suggested to be highspin, our results provide strong evidence to support the hypothesis that the trans thiolate donor in SOR significantly modulates the strength of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond.
In addition to modulation of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strength for E114A SOR, the stability of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H})$ intermediate was also significantly increased with the weakening of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ interaction, as demonstrated by increased yields of the intermediate and slower decay kinetics. ${ }^{18}$ The kinetics of decay for $\mathbf{2 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ are complicated and do not follow a simple first-order rate law. Similarly, complex kinetic profiles were previously observed for the decay of other low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OO}^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{Bu}$ complexes. ${ }^{26}$ However, monitoring the disappearance of the LMCT bands for 1a- 5a by low-temperature UV-vis reveal a qualitative trend wherein the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$-OOR species decay more slowly as the electron-donating character of $\mathrm{ArS}^{-}$is reduced. For example, the fluorinated derivative $\mathbf{4 a}$ exhibits a remarkably slow decay rate, showing almost no decomposition at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for over 48 h , as opposed to 1.5 h for the complete decay of the $p$-H complex 1a. As described in the Introduction (vide supra), a study by Que and Halfen on the high-spin model complexes ( $\mathrm{N}_{4} \mathrm{~L}$ ) $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-$ OOR ( $\mathrm{L}={ }^{-}$OTf, ${ }^{-} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5},{ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $\left.p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)^{33}$ showed an increased stability with electron-donating trans axial ligands (for $k_{\text {decomposition }}, \mathrm{L}={ }^{-} \mathrm{OTf}>{ }^{-} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}>$ ${ }^{-} \mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-p-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ) and no impact of the trans ligand on the $\nu(\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O})$ in the RR spectra. Indeed, to our knowledge compounds $\mathbf{1 a} \mathbf{- 5 a}$ are the first series of model complexes that provide direct evidence for such a trans influence in $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H}$ or R ) species.

## Summary and Perspective

We have prepared a series of iron(II) complexes as models of the SOR active site. These complexes were designed to examine the influence of the thiolate donor on the structural
and physical properties as well as the reactivity of the metal center at parity of ligand environment. The metrical parameters as determined by X-ray crystallography do not vary significantly with the change in thiolate donor. In contrast, the nature of the arylthiolate donor has a significant impact on the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}} / \mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$ potential, in support of the suggestion that the cysteine ligand in SOR plays a major role in controlling the iron redox potential. ${ }^{16,22}$ The stabilization of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\text {III }}-$ OOR species at low temperature for all of the thiolate-ligated complexes was demonstrated, and spectroscopic characterization of these alkylperoxo complexes shows that they are low-spin iron(III) species with weak $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. These findings provide an exception to the hypothesis that low-spin $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H}$ or R$)$ species necessarily exhibit strong $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds in comparison to their high-spin counterparts.

The electron-donating power of the sulfur donor in the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}$-OOR complexes described herein directly influences the strength of the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond through a trans influence from the thiolate ligand. In contrast, the thiolate donor does not impact the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond strength. DFT calculations on a simplified model for the $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}$-OOR complexes support these experimental findings. A similar observation was recently described for the E114A SOR enzyme, in which a $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$-derived $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H})$ species was trapped and characterized by RR spectroscopy. ${ }^{18}$ Thus, our results, combined with the results for E114A SOR suggest that an important function of the Cys donor in SOR is to weaken the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond without weakening the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, thereby favoring $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ over $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage. Recent DFT calculations on SOR also support this conclusion. ${ }^{22}$
The sulfur atom of the Cys ligand in SOR is within weak hydrogen bond contact of two nearby amide $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ groups as determined by X-ray crystallography. ${ }^{20}$ For many other cysteine-
ligated iron proteins it has been proposed that $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}--\mathrm{S}$ hydrogen bonds assist in tuning the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Fe}$ bonding interaction and the iron redox potentials, ${ }^{78}$ and similar proposals have been made for SOR. ${ }^{20,22}$ We suggest that perhaps the presence of the $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{S}$ bonds in SOR help to modulate the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{S}$ interaction in much the same way as addition of an electronwithdrawing para substituent to the arylthiolate ligand of our model system; they provide fine-tuning of the Cys ligand's ability to influence the $\mathrm{Fe}-\mathrm{O}$ bond while leaving the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond unperturbed in an $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{III}}-\mathrm{OOH}$ intermediate, ultimately favoring protonation and formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Generation of $\mathrm{Fe}^{\mathrm{II}}-\mathrm{OO}(\mathrm{H})$ adducts via reactions between our model complexes and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{-}$is currently being examined.
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